

mentioned in Section 9 (1) of the Act. A plan of the lands is kept in the office of the Assistant Commissioner, Davangere Sub-Division, and inspected at any time during office hours.

Chitaldrug District, Hosadurga Taluk, Mathodu Hobli, Chikkatekalavatti Village.

atalavatti, Survey No. 63-1 (b), Dry, in the khate and anubhava of aya Naika, son of Ramadasa Naika, and bounded on the North by Survey No. 60, South by Boundary of Bukkasagara, East by Survey No. 60, and West by Survey No. 63-1 (b), the area required being one acre and 16 guntas, assessed at Re. 0-8-0.

L. 8884—V. P. 7-47-30, dated 6th September 1947.

ereas it appears to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of that the undermentioned lands situated in Rekalgere Village, ere Taluk, Chitaldrug District, are needed for a public purpose to laying out an extension to the Banajarahatti at the place; notice effect is hereby given to all whom it may concern, in accordance with the provisions of Section 4 (1) of the Land Acquisition Act of 1927, as amended by the Land Acquisition Amendment Act No. 1 of 1927, Government hereby authorise the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug Sub-Division, and also the Assistant Commissioner, Chitaldrug Sub-Division, to exercise the powers conferred by Section 4 (2) of the Act, sub-section 4 of Section 17 of the Land Acquisition Act of 1894, as amended by Act No. 1 of 1927, the Government direct that in view of the provisions of Section 5-A of the Act shall not apply to the acquisition of the lands noted below.

Chitaldrug District, Chhallakere Taluk, Naikanahatti Hobli, Rekalgere Village.

ere, Survey No. 31-6, (Dry) in the Khate and anubhava of Manja Naika, Lalya Naika, T. Kasim Sab, and bounded on the North by Survey No. 30, South by Survey No. 32, East by Boundary of Dasaramanahatti and West by Survey No. 31-1 to 4 and Banajarahatti, the area required being 4 acres and 29 guntas, assessed at Re. 0-15-0.

L. 8885—V. P. 7-47-31, dated 6th September 1947.

nder Section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act of 1894, as amended by the Acquisition Amendment Act No. 1 of 1927, the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore declare that the lands measuring 4 acres and 29 guntas, are needed for a public purpose, to wit, for laying out an extension to the Banajarahatti at the place; and under Sections 4 and 7 of the Act, the Assistant Commissioner in charge of Chitaldrug Sub-Division, is appointed to perform the functions of a Deputy Commissioner of the Act and directed to take orders for the acquisition of the said lands.

Under sub-section (1) of Section 17 of the Act, the Government direct that the possession of the said lands may be taken on the fifteenth days from the date of publication of the notice mentioned in Section 9 (1) of the Act. A plan of the lands are kept in the Office of the Assistant Commissioner, Chitaldrug Sub-Division, and may be inspected at any time during office hours.

Chitaldrug District, Chhallakere Taluk, Naikanahatti Hobli, Rekalgere Village.

ere, Survey No. 31-6 (Dry), in the Khate and anubhava of Manja Naika, Lalya Naika, T. Khasim Sab, and bounded on the North by Survey No. 30, South by Survey No. 32, East by Boundary of Dasaramanahatti, and West by Survey No. 31-1 to 4 and Banajarahatti, the area required being 4 acres and 29 guntas, assessed at Re. 0-15-0.

No. L. 2330—V. P. 7-47-3, dated 9th August 1947.

ereas it appears to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of that the undermentioned lands situated in Heggere Village, Chitaldrug District, are needed for a public purpose, to wit, for an extension to the Adikarnataka hatti at the place; notice to that effect is hereby given to all whom it may concern in accordance with the provisions of Section 4 (1) of the Land Acquisition Act of 1894, as amended by the Land Acquisition Amendment Act No. 1 of 1927, and the Government hereby authorise the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug, and his subordinate and also the Assistant Commissioner, Chitaldrug, Sub-Division, to exercise the powers conferred by Section 4 (2) of the Act. Under sub-section (4) of Section 17 of the Land Acquisition Act of 1894, as amended by Act No. 1 of 1927, the Government direct that, in view of the urgency of the case, the provisions of Section 5-A of the Act shall not apply to the acquisition of the lands noted below.

Chitaldrug District, Chhallakere Taluk, Kasaba Hobli, Heggere Village.

ce, Survey No. 81-1 (Dry) in the Khate of Heggere Thipperudrappa and in the anubhava of Parudappa son of Doddaviramma, and bounded on the North by Survey No. 82-1, and Village site, South by Survey No. 81-1, East by Survey No. 80 and Village site, and West by Survey No. 81-1, the area required being one acre assessed at Re. 0-4-0.

ce, Survey No. 82-1 (Dry) in the Khate and anubhava of Thayamma wife of Thippiah, and bounded on the North by Road, South by Survey No. 81-1, East by Village Site, and West by Survey No. 82-1, the area required being one acre, assessed at Re. 0-4-0.

No. L. 2331—V. P. 7-47-4, dated 9th August 1947.

nder Section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act of 1894, as amended by the Acquisition Amendment Act No. 1 of 1927, the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore declare that the lands measuring 1 acre and 16 guntas, be the same a little more or less, are needed for a public purpose for an extension to the Adikarnataka hatti at the place, and under Sections 4 and 7 of the same Act, the Assistant Commissioner in charge of Chitaldrug Sub-Division is appointed to perform the functions of a Deputy Commissioner under the Act and directed to take orders for the acquisition of the said lands. Under sub-section (1) of Section 17 of the Act, the Government further direct that the possession of the said lands may be taken on the expiry of fifteen days from the date of publication of the notice mentioned in Section 9 (1) of the Act. A plan of the lands is kept in the Office of the Assistant Commissioner, Chitaldrug, Sub-Division, and may be inspected at any time during office hours.

Chitaldrug District, Chhallakere Taluk, Kasaba Hobli, Heggere Village.

ce, Survey No. 81-1 (Dry) in the Khate of Heggere Thipperudrappa and in the anubhava of Parudappa son of Doddaviramma, and bounded on the North by Survey No. 82-1 and Village Site, South by Survey No. 81-1, East by Survey No. 80, and Village Site, and West by Survey No. 81-1, the area required being one acre, assessed at Re. 0-4-0.

ce, Survey No. 82-1, (Dry) in the Khate and anubhava of Thayamma wife of Thippiah, and bounded on the North by Road, South by Survey No. 81-1, East by Village Site, and West by Survey

GENERAL SECRETARIAT

Dated 14th February 1948.

No. S. R. 2737—L. W. 13-47-21. Under Section 12 (4) of the Mysore Labour Act XIII of 1942, the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore are pleased to publish for general information the accompanying report of the Chief Conciliator in Mysore, Bangalore, in respect of the failure of the conciliation proceedings in the dispute between the Labour Association of the Mysore Coffee Curing Works and the concerned Works Management in regard to a Notice of Change given by the said Labour Association urging certain demands.

6190

MOHAMED ISMAIL SHERIFF, *Gl. Secy.*

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF CONCILIATOR IN MYSORE, BANGALORE.

Notification No. C. C. 35-47, dated 28th January 1948.

To

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, General Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honour to make the following report under Section 12 (4) of the Mysore Labour Act, relating to a dispute between the Mysore Coffee Curing Works Employees Labour Association, Chickmagalur, and the concerned works Management, in regard to a Notice of Change given by the said Labour Association putting forth the following five demands:—

- (1) That the Special Temporary Allowance that has been paid to the employees of the Mysore Coffee Curing Works, Ltd., Chickmagalur, hitherto (*i.e.*, paid up to 30th June 1946) on the grounds specified in the Memo No. 553, dated 26th October 1943, issued by the Management and which has now been withdrawn as per Memo No. 7987, dated 17th July 1946 with effect from 1st July 1946 should be continued to such a time that the reasons satisfy both by the employer and the employees to withdraw it from the date it has been withdrawn.
- (2) That the Bonus, *i.e.*, 1/6th of the salary of an employee which is paid yearly between the months of February and April for the years ending 30th September should be paid within the 15th of May 1947 for the year ending 30th September 1946.
- (3) That the reduction caused individually against the rates of wages of coolies should be replaced from the date the reduction was caused and the previous rates paid must be continued.
- (4) That the Work Service Rules, said to have been changed as per Office Order No. 2179, dated 12th March 1947, in lieu of the rules that were in force prior to Memo No. 2179, should be got accepted by the Association.
- (5) That the increments that were not given to few of the employees as was given to others must also be given to those who have not got yet with effect from the date that the increments were given to others."

2. The Secretary of the Labour Association gave to the concerned works Management a Notice of Change, making the above five demands. The Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Mysore Division, who tried to bring about a settlement between the parties reported to the Commissioner of Labour that an agreement between the parties had been reached in respect of Demands Nos. 2, 4 and 5 and that in respect of the remaining two demands, *viz.*, Nos. 1 and 3 no agreement was possible. Upon that, the Commissioner of Labour referred the case to this office under Section 10 (3) of the Labour Act to initiate conciliation proceedings in respect of Demands Nos. 1 and 3.

3. The case was registered and posted for hearing on the 26th January 1948, after notice to the parties on both sides.

4. Accordingly, I held conciliation proceedings on the hearing date (26th January 1948) at Bangalore and discussed the demands in detail on both sides with reference to all the relevant points.

5. The Management was represented by Mr. A. C. Saldanha, Manager of the Works, while Mr. B. S. Subba Jois, Secretary of the Association, assisted by Mr. B. N. Subba Rao, Assistant Secretary, represented the Association.

6. Both the demands were considered one by one and the result is as stated

7. Demand No. 1: for the continuance of the Special Temporary Allowance that was being paid since 1943 and discontinued since 1st July 1946:—

The Labour representative explained the demand and stated that the Special Temporary Allowance granted in 1943, on account of high cost of living was being paid all these days even after the commencement of the grant of Dearness Allowance and that its discontinuance causes hardship to workers, especially when the cost of living which instead of coming down had gone up. He further urged that the contention of the Management that since the Management had enhanced the rates of Dearness Allowance by 50 per cent the payment of this Special Temporary Allowance in addition was not possible was not convincing, as the enhanced rate of Dearness Allowance was less than the sum total of the old rates of Dearness Allowance and the Special Temporary Allowance. Hence, the allowance in question now urged has to be paid.

The Management representative said that though there was an agreement in respect of a previous Notice of Change to the effect that the Management would pay some other allowance to compensate the loss by the stoppage of this Special Temporary Allowance paid to the staff, the Management was obliged to withdraw the payment of this allowance as per the decision of the Directorate and as the enhanced rates of Dearness Allowance were paid to the employees at the rate prevalent in the Government Industrial Concerns. He further stated that the Special Temporary Allowance which was meant to neutralise the high cost of living of the employees when the Dearness Allowance paid to them at the rate of Rs. 8 and Rs. 12 to those below Rs. 25 and above respectively, was discontinued when they began paying the Dearness Allowance at Rs. 12 and Rs. 15. It was also pointed out by the Management that no duplicate allowances could be paid on the ground of high cost of living and that the Dearness Allowance would be the only proper item and had been since raised. Further, he said, that the financial position of the Company did not permit of such grant and would not permit of its continuance now, and that the dividends which were being paid at 10 per cent some time back had come down to 7½ and that the prospects of this year's crop were also not bright. He added that the Management had sanctioned revised grades of pay, from about that date and that two increments had been granted to the staff in those grades. For all these reasons, he said that it was not possible for the Management to grant the Special Allowance in addition to the Dearness Allowance, and that this demand could not be accepted.

The Association Representative pressed the demand, but the Management could not agree to it. No settlement could be effected between the parties on this Demand.

8. Demand No. 3: for the restoration of the wage cuts effected in the case of certain coolies:—

There was discussion of this demand on both sides. The Management representative explained as to the circumstances for that reduction in the wages and as to the improvement in the result about the basic wages and the Dearness Allowance in this seasonal factory.

Finally, the Association representative withdrew this demand.

9. So, of the two Demands (No. 1 and 3) for conciliation (i.e., No. 3) was withdrawn, while in respect of the other demand (i.e., No. 1), the parties did not come to a settlement though all possible ways were explored.

10. The conciliation in regard to the Demand No. 1 thus ended in failure, which I hereby report.

11. I request that this report may be published in the Gazette, as required in Sub-Section (4) of Section 12 of the Mysore Labour Act.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
NAVANEETHAM,
Chief Conciliator.

6190

EDUCATION SECRETARIAT

Dated 17th February 1948.

No. M. 11608—P. H. 57-47-10. Whereas a cattle during the Jatra at Rampur, Honnali Taluk, will be held a period of 15 days from 26th February 1948 and it is deemed desirable to provide as hereunder.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 116 of the Mysore Public Health Act, 1944 (Act X of 1944), the Government of His Highness the Maharaja are pleased to declare that cattle fair to be held at the place as a notified fair for a period of 15 days 26th February 1948.

6255

Dated 17th February 1948.

No. M. 11613—P. H. 57-47-11. Whereas a cattle during the Jatra at Kudli, Shimoga Taluk, will be held a period of 15 days from 10th April 1948 and it is deemed desirable to provide as hereunder.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section (1) of Section 116 of the Mysore Public Health Act, 1944 (Act X of 1944), the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore are pleased to declare that cattle fair to be held at the place as a notified fair for a period of 15 days from 10th April 1948.

6256

Dated 18th February 1948.

ERRATUM.

No. E. 6215—Edn. 125-47-5. Please read "M. Kotturbasavappa, B.A., LL.B., Advocate, Davangere" "Mr. K. Kotturbasavappa, B.A., LL.B.," appearing in Notification No. E. 5313—Edn. 125-47-4, dated the 15th Jan 1948, relating to the Reconstitution of the Board for Trial Examinations.

6286

K. THIPPERUDRIAH, Edn. S

LAW SECRETARIAT

Dated 18th February 1948.

No. S. R. 2812—J. S. 6-47-10. The Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore are pleased to declare that the Punjab National Bank, Ltd., at Davangere, is an "Approved Bank" for purposes of Sections 101 (2-F) 282 (B) of the Mysore Companies Act XVIII of 1915 amended from time to time.

6254

Dated 18th February 1948.

No. 5843—Cts. 89-47-6. Under Section 57 of the Insolvency Act V of 1920 as applied to the Retroceded Bangalore, by Section 3 of the Retrocession (Application of Laws) Act, 1947 (Act No. XXIII of 1947), the Government of His Highness the Maharaja are pleased to sanction the continuance of the term of appointment of Mr. P. N. Naidu as Official Receiver for the Retroceded Area, Bangalore upto 30th June 1948.

6236

Dated 19th February 1948.

No. 5873—Cts. 21-47-34. Mr. K. Seshagiri Rao, LL.B., City Magistrate, Bangalore, is empowered to perform the functions of a Magistrate under the Mysore Lunacy Act 1916.

6280

S. KANAKARATNARAJ, Law S

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Dated 4th September 1947.

No. R. 3076—L. R. 36-47-10. Whereas it appears to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore that undermentioned lands situated in Kolar Village, Channarayana Taluk, Bangalore District, are needed for a public purpose, Adikarnataka extension at Kolar Village; notice to that effect is hereby given to all whom it may concern in accordance with the provisions of Section 4 (1) of the Land Acquisition Act of 1894, as amended by the Land Acquisition Amendment Act No. I of 1927, and the Government hereby authorise the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District and his subordinates and also the Assistant Commissioner, Closepet, Sub-Division to exercise the powers conferred by Section 4 (2) of the Land Acquisition Act of 1894, as amended by the Land Acquisition Amendment Act No. I of 1927, the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.